## BEFORE

## THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

## SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NOS. 2000-450-W & 2000-451-W - ORDER NO. 2000-1015

DECEMBER 20, 2000

INRE:	Docket No. 2000-450-W - Application of Cassique Water Co., LLC for Approval of an Establishment to Provide Water Service and for Approval of Agreements Related to Water Service in Charleston County, South Carolina.	) ) ) )	ORDER ALLOWING REVISED RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS
	AND	)	
	Docket No. 2000-451-W - Application of Kiawah Island Utility, Inc. for Approval of Water Purchase and Treatment Agreements.	)	

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) on the Motion of the Commission Staff (the Staff) to allow revised responsive testimony and/or exhibits in this case. The Staff notes in its Motion that the present prefiled testimony of Staff witness Charles Creech contains proposed operating margins based on pro formas submitted by the Applicants that have since been revised. Further, the intervenors, the Town of Kiawah Ialand and the Kiawah Property Owners Group (KPOG), have submitted prefiled testimony which basically states that the witnesses are waiting on discovery responses not filed and served at the time of the prefiling of the intervenor testimony. Accordingly, the Staff moves for an Order allowing it and the intervenors to prefile and serve revised

responsive testimony and/or exhibits on or before January 17, 2001, based on the new information furnished by the Applicants. Revised rebuttal testimony and exhibits would be prefiled and served on or before January 24, 2001, and revised surrebuttal testimony and/or exhibits would be prefiled and served on or before January 26, 2001. The revised rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony and exhibits would be filed with the Commission and placed in the parties' hands on the dates indicated. Staff believes that this plan would promote fairness and administrative efficiency in that it would allow all parties to update their testimonies based on newly received information. All parties are in agreement with the Motion.

We have considered the Staff's Motion and grant it as filed. We believe that the granting of the Motion would promote both fairness and administrative efficiency, since it would allow the responsive testimony and exhibits to be updated in view of materials filed after the prefiling deadlines by the Applicants. The Applicants are also allowed to respond to any new testimony through revised rebuttal. Revised surrebuttal is also allowed in the Motion. We agree with the aims of the Motion, and we adopt it.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

m.E. Wille

Chairman

ATTEST:

Executive Director

(SEAL)